

United States Patent and Trademark Office.

-ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE-Cnited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Beet 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 7640 10/619,401 07/15/2003 Shi-Ying Lin OPS C-624 23474 EXAMINER 7590 04/08/2005 FLYNN THIEL BOUTELL & TANIS, P.C. MEDINA SANABRIA, MARIBEL 2026 RAMBLING ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER KALAMAZOO, MI 49008-1699 1754

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/619,401	LIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maribel Medina	1754
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 July 2003.		
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
	-	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T (aleas in o	(DTO 442)
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/20/03; 11/03/03.	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Abstract

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected. In the last line of the abstract "Ca" should be deleted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 & Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over US 4,440,546 (Lancet et al).

Lancet et al disclose a process of preparing a hydrogen-containing gas, by reacting coal powders with steam in the presence of CaO in a gasification reactor at temperatures in the range from 1200°F to 1500°F (i.e. 649°C to 815°C). Lancet et al do not disclose the use of an oxidizing agent. Additionally, Lance et al disclose that the coal and CaO are mixed before being reacted with the water and are powders with a particle size less than 65 Tyler mesh and preferably having a particle size of 325 mesh (i.e. 0.044 mm) (See col. 1, line 1 to col. 3, line 43).

Lancet et al is silent in regards to the limitation in claim 1 referring to the grain growth of the coal and CaO powders, while adjusting the steam partial pressure in the reactor to enable CaO to form Ca(OH)₂.

However, the grain growth and conversion of CaO to Ca(OH)₂ would have been be inherently provided in Lancet et al process once the temperature and pressure are adjusted in Lancet et al process. Note that Lancet et al process is carried out at temperatures in the range from 649°C to 815°C and at pressures below 13 atm. Therefore the process carried out at this conditions will inherently lead to the conversion of CaO upon reaction with water to Ca(OH)₂ and to grain growth as instantly claimed.

Note <u>In re Best</u>, 195 USPQ at 433, footnote 4 (CCPA 1977) as to the providing of this rejection made above under 35 USC 102.

No difference is seen between the instantly claimed invention and Lancet et al disclosure.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maribel Medina whose telephone number is (571) 272-1355.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,401

Art Unit: 1754

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Markel Medical
Maribel Medina

Examiner

Art Unit 1754